

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/025,911	12/26/2001	Dong Jae You	8733.543.00 7511			
30827	7590 09/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			DONG, DALEI			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			2879			
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/025,911	YOU, DONG JAE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dalei Dong	2879		

	Dalei Dong	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further or  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ol>	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-6 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	N	2/	
1		SHKUMAR D. PATEL	-n
		SORY PATENT EXAMINI DLOGY CENTER 2800	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument provided by the Applicant deemed not persuasive. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant intends to claim curved portion 35 and 45 as first and second curved wings. However, the claim as is written fails to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of record. The Examiner interprets that the Matsuoka reference discloses in Figures 1-4, that the second curved wing (5b) is directly contacting a portion of the wire (3) (see column 2, lines 39-47), and that portion 5b of the Matsuoka reference is curved respective to the first portion 5a and therefore forms the second curved wing of the L-shaped connector. Thus, the Examiner asserts that the prior art of record teaches the claimed invention and maintains the rejection.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800